

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/916,427	PARENT, PATRICE P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Fred I. Ehichioya	2162	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Fred I. Ehichioya.

(3) _____

(2) Wayne M. Kennard (Reg. No. 30,271).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 - 28

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 SHAHID ALAM

PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Attorney for the applicant explains the invention of the current application. The new amendment was discussed especially "preventing the first and second system users' respective downstream and upstream access to unauthorized restricted data information through the use of a foreign key and primary key". Mr. Kennard explains "downstream and upstream access" making reference to the specification. Examiner suggests to applicant's representative to cancel claims 1 - 7; for the rest claims (8 - 28) to be considered for allowance. Mr. Kennard accepts examiner's proposition.